

REVIEW PROCESS

Proposals are to be reviewed by the CTSA Pilot Project committee collaborating with the Scientific Research Committee. External reviewers will be used by the Committee, and these reviewers will generally be from institutions other than that of the PI. Reviewers are instructed to provide a concise report to the Committee, which will be made available to you. We will ask each reviewer to supply “bullet points” on strengths and weaknesses in 5 areas, and comment on the design of the proposal, in addition to an overall score. In making a decision, the Committee will take into consideration the items weighted in the following manner:

1. Significance, originality, scientific merit and translational nature of the proposed project.
2. The ability to perform the proposed research, within the time frame allotted.
3. Likelihood that completion will provide the basis for future successful funding and/or operational success within the CTSA framework.
4. Budget justification.
5. Partnership goals of the proposal in regard to inter-institutional and inter-generational partnerships.

The review committee would like to emphasize the importance of (a) providing a plan for CTSA resource use or enhancement, (b) specifying plans for how this project will lead to funding from other federal and non-federal granting agencies.

Review Form

Project
Reviewer

Project PI

Categories

1. Significance, originality, scientific merit and translational nature of the proposed project.

Strengths

•

Weaknesses

•

Overall comment on the category

2. The ability to perform the proposed research, within the time frame allotted.

Strengths

•

Weaknesses

•

Overall comment on the category

3. Likelihood that completion will provide the basis for future successful funding and/or operational success within the CTSA framework.

Strengths

•

Weaknesses

•

Overall comment on the category

4. Budget justification.

Strengths

•

Weaknesses

•

Overall comment on the category

5. Partnership goals of the proposal in regard to interinstitutional and intergenerational partnerships. (10 points)

Strengths

•

Weaknesses

•

Overall comment on the category

6. Would you fund this grant if you were responsible for the CTSC?

Yes or No

OVERALL RATING IN WORDS